

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

**TRANSLATION**

**PCT**

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>P00038802-PO</b>		<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. <b>PCT/JP2005/009849</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>30.05.2005</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>31.05.2004</b>	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
Applicant <b>MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.</b>			

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/JP2005/009849

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material  
 a sequence listing  
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
 in written format  
 in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
 contained in the international application as filed.  
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/009849

Box No. IV      Lack of unity of invention

1.  In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
  - paid additional fees
  - paid additional fees under protest
  - not paid additional fees
2.  This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
  - complied with
  - not complied with for the following reasons:

While claims 1-7 and 9-12 relate to the inventions intended to reduce power consumption by transmission and reception of control information at low power consumption, claim 8 can not be perceived as an invention relating to reduction of power consumption but as an invention to switch over an output band, and these can be found neither to be a single invention or a group of inventions correlated to form a single general inventive concept.
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
  - all parts
  - the parts relating to claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/JP2005/009849

Box No. V **Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	2-12	YES
	Claims	1	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2-12	YES
	Claims	1	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2002-223479, A (MITSUBISHI MATERIALS CORPORATION), 09 August, 2002 (09.08.02)

Document 2: JP, 2004-040373, A (CANON INC.), 05 February, 2004 (05.02.04)

Claim 1

Document 1 describes a communication method which enables the reduction of power consumption by transmitting connection control information with a narrow-band modulation signal, judging the transmission with OFDM method (wide-band modulation of high power consumption), and by controlling operation of a circuit which performs wide-band modulation with high power consumption.

Claims 2-7 and 9-12

Document 2 describes a communication method to save power during a time interval where no transmission or reception is conducted.

However, none of the documents describe or suggest UWB, communication time reservation request information and multicasting.

Claim 8

None of the documents describe or suggest a specific configuration to convert an output band.